STEP 2 – PROPOSAL ABSTRACT

Applicant Name: <u>Butte-Silver Bow Local Government</u>

Project Title: <u>Drinking Water Infrastructure Replacement - Year 7</u>

Project Description and Benefits to Restoration:

Due to the adverse impacts of mining from the Berkeley Pit and the underground mines, the groundwater aquifers in portions of Butte can never be used for drinking. The NRD assessment estimates for lost groundwater resources on the Butte Hill alone exceed 5,000 gallons per minute – about the average amount of water used by all Butte citizens on a typical day (except during sprinkling season). Consequently, to protect human health, use of existing groundwater wells is limited and there are prohibitions on new wells in certain areas.

Since 1992, Butte Silver Bow has been the owner/operator of the water utility division. As a result of a settlement, water consumers inherited neglected 100-year-old system. At this same time, Butte-Silver Bow ratepayers had to invest over \$50 million (see appendix C) to restore and replace its drinking water system — a complex infrastructure to import water from across the Continental Divide and from the mountain creeks surrounding Butte. These investments were unconditional and mandatory: There were no alternative sources to develop since the local groundwater is permanently damaged, and neglected improvements by the previous owner had led to federal orders to upgrade the system.

More work is needed. Butte-Silver Bow proposes a fifteen-year program to make essential improvements to the system, particularly the need to replace deteriorated (e.g. leaking, corroded, undersized) distribution lines in the neighborhoods where groundwater use is restricted. The proposed 15-year project would result in a coordinated, annual replacement program to respond to precise areas where deficiencies are creating the most problems.

Year Seven of the project, Butte-Silver Bow requests \$2,417,003.00 in NRD funds, and pledges \$268,556.00 in matching funds to replace up to a total of 17,000 feet of distribution lines. Over 15 years, up to 255,000 feet of distribution pipes would be replaced to provide better service to those citizens who cannot use the groundwater. This long-term investment will fulfill essential priorities and also achieve effective coordination with applicable NRDP requirements.

Chart A: Project Budget Summary
NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE PROGRAM -- 2007 APPLICATION Butte-Silver Bow - Drinking Water Infrastructure Replacement Project -- Year 7

	Annual	Y2007	 	NRDP						<u> </u>		15-year		15-yea
DESCRIPTION	Hours	Total				BSB		SB	BSB		15-year	NRDP		BS
	110013	I OLAI	Snare	(90%)		In-kind	Cash Sh		10% of TOTAL		Total***	Share		Shar
1. SALARIES AND WAGES		2 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4	 						THE TAKE					
DPW Director	82	\$ 3,032			Ś	2 222		- 13	2. 推广的 ag 14. 75 -					
Water Division General Manager	252				\$	3,032 5,713			\$ 3,032		45,485	-	\$	45,488
DPW Engineer Technican		\$ 5,761			\$	5,761		- 13	\$		85,693		\$	85,693
Water Division Staff	572				·	10.016		- 13	\$ 5,761		86,419	\$ -	\$	86,419
Other Staff	60	24 - 124 - 127 - 261 OC 244 - 1-1 4 - 4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- 13	\$ 10,016		150,236		\$	150,236
		10.5	-		\$	1,020			\$ 1,020	\$	15,300		\$	15,300
Sub-Total Salaries		\$ 25,542			\$	05 540		- 19	5					
			•		<u>\$</u>	25,542			\$	\$	383,133	\$	\$	383,133
2. BENEFITS @ 34% of Wages		\$ 8,684	•		\$ \$				\$ 12.					
		9 0,004	3		>	8,684			\$. * 8,684	\$	130,265	\$ -	\$	130,265
Total Wages and Benefits:		\$ 34,227	-					_ 4	States at the state of					
		Carrier Color Color Color Color	3		\$	34,227			\$ 34,227	\$	513,399	\$	\$	513,399
3. CONTRACTED SERVICES:		tak Marie London Con							S. Salara and A.				·	
Engineering Consultation		\$ 344,933							\$ 18.642.50 A B					
Construction Contractor**		\$ 2,299,554		5,261			\$ 29,67			\$	5,173,997	\$ 3,880,498	\$	1,293,499
(includes supplies and materials			\$ 2,10	1,742			\$ 197,81		197,812	\$	34,493,312	\$ 25,869,984	\$	8,623,328
to replace pipes and re-pave roads)		(100 Mary 11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	ļ											
									Maria Carant					
4. SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS		s .	s					_ 3	Secretary and					
5. COMMUNICATIONS	_	\$	\$	\dashv			\$		San Proposition of	\$	-	\$ -	\$	
6. TRAVEL		\$.	\$				\$			\$		\$ 	\$	-
7. RENT AND UTILITIES		\$	\$				\$		agrandad .	\$		\$ •	\$	•
8. EQUIPMENT		* Jan 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	S				\$		1.00 () () () () () () () () () (\$	-	\$	\$	•
			3				\$		ingst strongery.	\$	•	\$ -	\$	
9. MISCELLANEOUS		197							446000000000000000000000000000000000000					
Indirect Costs		\$ 6,845	•											
@ 20% of salaries and benefits		9 0,045	*	- 3	>	6,845			6,845	\$	102,680	\$ -	\$	102,680
		The second second second							mental and					
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS:		\$ 2,685,559	6 644											
		2,000,009	3 2,41	7,003	\$	41,072	\$ 227,48	4 \$	268,556	\$	40,283,387	\$ 29,750,481	•	10,532,906

NOTES:

Engineering design, inspection and grant administration @ 15% of construction costs
** Construction based on Butte-Silver Bow estimates and Pioneer Technical estimates plus 10% contingency